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- $\mathbb{N}$ THIS ISSUE: Scale by Fred Cronenwett, Round \& Round by Jơhñ Thompson, Competition point standings, 'B' Speed Reecord Review, Special Topics by Orin Humphries, Contest Results: World Championship results!, and more good stuff!


## NOTES FROM THE EDITOR'S DESK

- Greetings, all! With this ending of summer issue, we welcome Fred Cronenwett to the FL staff. Fred is a well known scale modeler, hails from Southern California, and has been a frequent NW Regionals entrant. Welcome aboard the FL ship, Fred!

As i bedieve was mentioned in the last issue, John Thompson's $R$ \& $R$ column came up missing, büt not becảase John did not submit it. It just fell through the cracks somewhere. Although a mon̂th ${ }^{\circ}$ late, it is still relevant, and we do have it now this issue. John has some important words regarding the NW Regionals.

Speaking of the Regionals, a new feature we started a couple of years ago, was establishing a category of Regionals records. Since non-NW competitors cannot set NW records, this adds some more interest to the meet, as all entrants are eligible to be included. There were a number of new marks set in 1996, as you will see on that page.

Via USA team member Will Naemura, we have included the complete results of the World Champs just held in Sweden. Congratulations to all the USA and Canada team members for your fine performances.

All for now, see ya next month.


## Sport Scale - Your first Model

By: Fred Cronenwett

Ever considered entering Sport Scale and wondered what the judges are looking for or how to approach the event? Scale has one purpose in mind, to convince the judges that your model is a duplicate of the full size version in appearance and flight characteristics. There are many levels of Scale, everything from Fun Scale, Sport, Precision and even FAI which is the international level of competition. Today we will be looking at Sport Scale. Unlike other CL events the large majority of the Control Line Sport Scale models being flown today were intended for Radio Control. In the past there were a large number of CL Scale kits available, but no more. There are many kits are on the market today that were designed for Radio Control that are perfect for CL scale. The realm of Radio Control is where we will find everything for our CL scale model except the Bellcrank and leadouts.

Before you run down to your nearest Hobby shop to pick up that RC Scale kit we need to stop and research your subject aircraft first. Let's look at the North American P-51 Mustang as an example. What is the first question you should ask yourself if I tell you I have a scale kit of a P51? Which version! The P-51 changed configuration at least 5 times, so you have to be able to document the version you build. When the model is judged for static points you provide the judges with 8 pages of information which include the following. 3-view, photographs or similar information about the color and markings and some other general information. One of the best sources for this information is the "IN ACTION" series from Squadron Signals. This series of books are affordable and include everything you will need for a sport scale model.

Once you have selected your favorite airplane you would like to build start looking thru magazines and your local hobby shop for a kit. Let's say you have selected the P-51D Mustang. You have lots ot choices here to choose from including Royal, Top Flite, Brian Taylor (thru Bob Holman), Innovative, House of Balsa, and the list goes on...... Within these choices the size and complexity will vary greatly. Anything powered with an .40 to .60 sized engine is probably the ideal size for CL Scale. Models with wing spans from $50^{\prime \prime}$ to $65^{\prime \prime}$ are easy to handle, and are not sensitive to wind and are able to carry the extra weight of the control systems and possibly even retracts. Smaller models don't fly as well and the larger models are difficult to transport and retracts for the large models tend to get expensive.

The name of the game in Scale is OPTIONS. Your total possible score in Sport Scale of 200 points consists of 100 static points and 100 flight points. There are only 4 mandatory flight maneuvers, Takeoff, 10 level laps, Landing and Realism. You choose the 6 remaining options which may include Touch and Go, Throttle control, Wingover, Retracts, Taxi, Flaps and others. Models without Throttle control suffer in the realism points. Throttle control is one of those features that is almost required to do well. The P-51D Mustang is loaded with options, Retracts, Throttle, Flaps and sliding canopy. A basic model would only have Throttle, while a complex one would have alk of the above.

Select a good RC engine with a reliable idle and determine if you want to install other features. Retracts are by far the hardest with flaps being the simplest. Make sure you choose a kit with good outines since 40 points of your static score is how well you model matches the full size outlines. Lay out all of the required hardware and documentation. I will cover documentation in more detail later but bottom line is that your model must match the photographs you provide the Scale judge. Remember this, If you can see a feature in the photograph, it needs to be on the model. If the full size P-51 has a $N$-number, then your model will have the same $N$-number. Don't change the $N$-number to match your AMA number. Every number and marking must be identical to the full size version. Also pay attention to any changes that may have been made to the full size version such as modern navigation equipment or other aerodynamic changes. Some of the P-51's that race at Reno have been extensively modified, which include clipped wings or elevators.

By now you have pictured your model with 5 or 7 lines and may or may not be thrilled with this idea. Again Radio Control has provided us with a means for our CL model to control all of our features with electronics and two flying lines. Send 5 first class stamps to me in trade for two articles on how to use electronic controls. Single channel is the best choice for the model with throttle only, while Multi-channel is the best choice for the model with throttle, retracts and flaps.

Next Issue: Documentation - Having fun researching you favorite airplane
Send 5 first Class stamps in trade for articles on CL electronics:
Fred Cronenwett
7352 Independence \#201
Canoga Park, CA 91303
(818) 719-0167

Ye Olde Editor's 1/2 A Profile Proto speed ship. Lightweight design is named "Hogfeather". Construction is of balsa, spruce, and carbon fiber. Motivation is by Stels .049, with own fiberglass prop.
(Mike Hazel photo)


The Mouse Race entry seen at the Portland April contest. Groups were from Hoquiam, Roseburg, and Madras. Adult mentors in the photo include: Dave Shrum, Alan Olsen, and Larry Hyder.
(Gary Harris photo)


Part of the racing fleet from the Roseburg contingent.

Mouse is now the most popular racing event in the Northwest.
(Gary Harris photo)



Modeling thought for the month:
"If a situation requires undivided allemtion, it will occur simultaneousty with a compelling distraction."

- Hutchinson's Law


## Passing of the torch

IT WAS the same old Regionals we know and love, and it was an all-new contest that dazzled us all.

A new city, a new site, lots of new facilities and an amazing number of new and enthusiastic workers. A new high in number of entries and a new milestone - 25 years - for the West's greatest contest.

The 1996 Northwest Control-Line Regionals, born of uncertainty when the traditional Regionals site became "iffy," moved to Roseburg, Oregon. The Umpqua Valley Modelers of Roseburg joined with the Eugene Prop Spinners in finding and preparing a new site at the Roseburg Regional Airport. There were some firstyear bugs to be worked out, but on the whole it was a resounding success.

That big hangar for registration, vendors and other contest business was a great addition, provided by an airport business operator.

The Umpqua Valley club's RC members pitched in and worked as officials and general contest workers throughout the weekend, and all of us lead officials had more help then we've ever had before - and promises of the same next year.

Regionals result will appear elsewhere. Having been chained to the combat site most of the weekend, my own observations were somewhat limited, but a few impressions stood out:

- The youth movement: Those 14 kids in junior mouse race is no fluke. The kids are coming and the torch is passing, not just from one club/contest site to another, but from one generation to the next.
- Ups and downs: There always are ups and downs in attendance. Racing was up - 20 senior/open Mouse I racers! - stunt was up.

Combat was strong, scale was strong. Speed and cartier were down a bit. Such a cycle is standard, and 1 predict an even higher entry next year in all events, especially after word gets out about this year. Watch Mede! Builder for Fred Cronenwett's special article on the 25th Regionals.

- Bully banquet: The attendance of AMA President Dave Brown at the contest, and as featured speaker at the banquet, was a fitting tribute to the contest's 25 years. Dave's excellent presentation was a very welcome honor from AMA for our premier western event. Also, good food, a lovely banquet facility, interesting historical slides, and other features. If you missed it, make sure to sign up for next year's banquet.
- The welcome mat: Never has a model contest been more welcomed by a community. The city of Roseburg, the airport officials, local businesses, motels and the news media bent over backwards to make CL fliers feel wanted. The UVM has an excellent home base for future activities.


## A personal note:

This year was my 20th consecutive Regionals. I began attending in 1977 as a competitor, moved to Eugene later that year and began working as an official the following year. Competition at the Regionals became impractical about 10 years ago due to the workload, and I have been purely an official since then (with a couple of minor exceptions).

After several consecutive years as the combat director, I've reached the conclusion that it's time to once again do some torch-passing. As most of the fliers know, I am not by nature a combat official and have done it for all of these years simply to assure that combat would be a continuing feature of the contest. I've been the combat director because nobody else was available.

As combat activity has rebounded in the past few years, it now would appear that the Northwest has a good supply of active or potential combat officials.

Therefore, I'm stepping down as the Regionals combat director, and will look for other avenues through which to contribute to the Regionals' success beginning in 1997. My future involvement with combat will be purely as a competitor.

I'll continue to oversee the acquisition of materials and equipment, and preparation of the

Regionats sile. The Limpqua Yalles Modeters has indicated that they will continue to provide indges.

Honever, because there are to my krowledge no other qualified combat load officials in the Fugene or Reseburg clubs it will be up to Northuest combal fiters to consider the issute of the overall combat director for 1097.

I suggest a rotating directorship among sevem fliers; if each qualified official would take one year off flying in rotation, mobody would have to give up flying more than once every several years. A second altermative would be a split directorship, in which three or four fliers volunteer to take on one event each, so that they could fly in the others.

If you've not been a combat director before, the requirements are fairly simple: You need a good working knowledge of the rulebook, quite a
bit of flying experience so that you know the stondard procedures and recognize many of the odd siluations lial come up, and, most of all. a thick enough skin to withstand a more or less constant questioning of your judgment.

It's never too soon to begin making plans for such a major undertaking, and I encourage Northwes: combat fliers to start thinking about the '97 Regionals now. Anyone interested in serving as the 1997 combat director, or taking on one or more event, con contact me and f'll make sure you are plugged in to the process of event direcior selection.

Finally, I'd like io thank all the fliers who have attended and helped build up the Regionals in combat for the past 10 years. We're on a strong positive cycle now and I'd like to see it continue. Maybe some year in the distant future I'll fly in the contest again myself!

## NORTHWEST CL CONTEST CALENDAR

The: Following listing is a summary or ali known A.m.a. and M.A.A.C. SANCTIONED EVENTS AS OF 7-10-96. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT THE INDIVIDUAL LISTED. CONTEST DIrectors and club leaders are encouraged to contact flying LINIS AS SOON AS POSSIbLE WITH THEIR PLANS, INCLUDING REVISIONS and tentative details.

SEPTEMBER $14 \& 15:$ KENT, WASHINGTON 1996 RAIDER ROUNDUP EVENTS: RACING, CARRIER, PRECISION AEROBATICS, SPEED, COMBAT, SCALE, MORE DETAILS TO BE ANNOUNCED. -?? When???????????? SITE: BOEING SPACE CENTER SPONSOR: SEATTLE SKYRAIDERS CONTACT: JOE DILL, 22533 152ND AVE SE, KENT, WA 980+2 PHONE: (206) 631-2367

SEPTEMBER 29: COQUITLAM, B.C. EVENTS: NW FLYING CLOWN RACE, MOUSF: RACE I. SITE: UPPER COQUTLAM RIVER PARK. SPONSOR: PACIFIC AEROMODELIERS CLUB. CONTACT: CHRIS COX, 604-596-7635

OCTOBER 5 \& 6: RICHLAND, WASHINGTON DESERT CARRIER BASH EVENTS: PROFILE CARRIER, . 15 CARRIER, CLASS I \& II CARRIER COMBINED, FLYING CLOWN RACE, CLASS I MOUSE RACE, NW SPORT RACE, NW SUPER SPORT RACE, OLD TIME STUNT. SITE: HORN RAPIDS ATHLETIC COMPLEX. SPONSOR: COLUMBIA BASIN BALSA BASHERS CONTACT: PAUL RICE (509) 627-3152

OCTOBER 12 \& 13: PORTLAND, OREGON REALLY RACING \& FALL FOLLIES EVENTS: MOUSE RACE CLASS I (INCLUDES SEPARATE JR CLASS), MOUSE RACE CLASS II, AMA GOODYEAR, SLOW RAT RACE, RAT RACE, NW SPORT RACE, NW SUPER SPORT RACE, FIYING CLOWN RACE, PRECISION AEROBATICS IN FOUR PAMPA CLASSES. SITE: DEITA PARK SPONSOR: EUGENE PROPSPINNERS \& NORTHWEST FIREBALLS. (.ONTACT: JOHN THOMPSON (541) 689-5553

## The Scoreboarel

## Northwest control-line competition standings.

## 1

The Northwest Regionals and several other contests in June kept the standings in constant flux during the early summer. As a resull, this month we have almost a complete update.

Flying Lines keeps track of standings in all AMA rulebook and Northwest official events, in all Northwest sanctioned contests.

Your FL editors do their best to keep up on the results, but contest directors can help keep the standings up to date by making sure to send the results to $E L$ immediately after the contest. When you send your report to AMA, remember to send the results to $E L$, too.

Results must include the placing in each event through fourth place and the report also must list the number of contestants in the event, in order for the point standings to be counted accurately.

Also, please include in your report the hometown of the contestants. Only Northwest residents are counted in the standings (AMA Dist. XI and British Columbia). The score of each contestant also should be listed for general reporting purposes and for checking against the Northwest records, another popular FL fealure.

Send results to statistician John Thompson at 2456 Quince St., Eugene, OR 97404. Note that this is n new address!. Results also can be sent via e-mail to JohnT40516aol.com.

Remember, only results that we receive can be counted, so send them in. lf you flew in a contest t that doesn't appear to be counted, contact the contest director or $F L$ and let us know. Also let us know if you spot any apparent errors in the standings.

One change in the procedures from the last update: There will no longer be an overnll aerobatics category. The precision aerobatics category combines the four PAMPA classes (with experts scored on a 1.5 factor). Separate categories are kept for old-time stunt and classic stunt, but those will not be combined into an overall category with the PAMPA classes.

Cortests counted to date: March 16, Richland, Wash. March 24, Coquitlam, B.C. April 14, Richmond, B.C. April 20, Portland, Ore. April 28, Richmond, B.C. May 4-5, Richland, Wash. May 24-26, Roseburg, Ore. June 15, Richmond, B.C., June 22-2.3, Kent, Wash. June 2930, Snohomish, Wash.

Following are the standings for updated events (lop fives for individual events, top tens for overall standings):

## 1996 STANDINGS

## AMA COMBAT (2 contests, 41 enlries)

1. Howard Rush ..... 11
2. Norm MicFadden ..... 10
3. Jelf Rein ..... 9
4. Ken Burdick ..... 8
SLOW COMBAT (1 contest, 2 entries)
5. Jeff Rein ..... 2
6. Gary Hartis ..... 1
1/2-A COMBAT ( 1 contest, 7 entries)
7. Mel I.yne ..... 7
8. Dick Salter ..... 6
9. leff Rein ..... 5
10. Tom Strom ..... 4
80-MPH COMBAT ( 1 contest, 12 entries)
11. Dick Salier ..... 12
12. Gary Harris ..... 11
13. Tom Strom ..... 10
14. Mel Lyne ..... 5
Ken Burdick ..... 5
OVERALL COMBAT ( 5 contests, 62 entries)
15. Dick Salter ..... 18
16. Jeff Rein ..... 16
17. Tom Strom ..... 14
18. Ken Burdick ..... 13
19. Mel Lyne ..... 12
Gary Harris ..... 12
20. Howard Rush ..... 11
21. Norm McFadden ..... 10
CLASS I CARRIER ( 2 contests, 8 entries)
22. EuanEdmonds ..... 6
23. Terry Miller ..... 4
24. Míke Hazel ..... 1
PROFILE CARRIER ( 3 contests, 12 entries)
25. Todd Ryan9
26. Euan Edrmonds ..... 7
27. John Thompson ..... 2
28. Nike Potier ..... ]
Mike Hazel ..... 1
. 15 NAVY CARRIER ( 3 contests, 13 entries)
29. Loyd Marohl ..... 9
Todd Ryan ..... 9
Alan Olsen ..... 9
30. Frank Boden ..... 4
31. Euan Edmonds ..... 2
OVERALL CARRIER (9 contests, 36 entries)
32. Todd Ryan ..... 18
33. Euan Edmonds ..... 15
34. Alan Olsen ..... 9
Lioyd Maroha ..... 9
35. Terry Miller ..... 4
Frank Boden ..... 4
36. Mike Hazel ..... 2
John Thompson ..... 2
37. Mike Potter ..... 1
lay Just ..... 1
Rich McConnell ..... 1
PRECISION AEROBATICS (10 cont., 57 entries)
38. Paul Walker ..... 27
39. Bob Eimmell ..... 9
Chris Cov ..... 9
40. Mike Comer ..... 8
41. Jack Pitcher ..... 7.5
42. Randy Powell ..... 7
43. Jerry Eichten ..... 5
Thorin Brown ..... 5
44. Karl Brown ..... 4
45. Dave Finnie ..... 3
Dave Royer ..... 3
OLD-TIME STUNT ( 4 contests, 30 entries)
46. Greg Davis ..... 9
47. Mel Lyme ..... 8
Chris Cox ..... 8
48. Emil Kowak ..... 7
Rick Meadows ..... 7
CLASSIC STUNT ( 2 contests, 15 entries)
49. Don McClave ..... 14
50. Dan Rutherford ..... 5
51. Rich McConmell ..... 4
MOUSE RACE CLASS I (7 contests, 57 entries) 1. Todd Ryan ..... 28
52. loe Rice ..... 21
53. Finain Fimonds ..... 14
Steplien Cos ..... 14
54. James Cox ..... 13
MOUSE RACE CLASS II ( 1 contesis, 7 entries)
55. Stephen Cox ..... 7
AMA GOODYEAR (1 contests, 2 entries)
56. jue Rice ..... 2
NORTHWEST GOODYEAR ( 1 contests, 3 entries)
57. Joe Rice ..... 2
58. Mark Wahister ..... 1
CLOWN RACE (9 contests, 49 entries)
59. Todd Ryan ..... 45
60. Joe Rice ..... 22
61. Slephen Cox ..... 18
Aaron Olsen ..... 18
62. Mac Ryan ..... 17
NW SPORT RACE (4 contests, 18 entries)
63. Henry Hajdik ..... 11
64. Todd Ryan ..... 9
65. Nitroholics Racing Team ..... 6
66. Mel Lyne ..... 5
67. Ron Salo ..... 4
NW SUPER SPORT RACE ( 2 contests, 9 entries)
68. Todd Ryan ..... 8
69. James Mills ..... 3
70. Alan Olsen ..... 2
71. Jesse Cooby ..... 1
OVERALL RACING ( 28 contests, 157 entries)
72. Todd Ryan ..... 90
73. Stephen Cox ..... 39
74. Joe Rice ..... 35
75. Aaron Olsen ..... 22
Mac Ryan ..... 22
76. Mike Conner ..... 21
77. James Cox ..... 20
78. Nitroholics Racing Team ..... 18
79. Rick Meadows ..... 17
80. Euan Edmonds ..... 15
SCALE (combined) ( 3 contests, 11 entries)
81. Pat Johnston ..... 2
SPEED (combined) ( 12 contests, 56 entries)
82. Chuck Schuette ..... 30
83. Bob Spahr ..... 28
84. Dick Salter ..... 10
85. Chris Sackett ..... 6
Todd Ryan ..... 6
lerry Thomas
86. Euan Edmonds
87. Mike Hazel

Bob Einhaus
10. Julie Rice

Ron Salo


Winners at the Bladder Grabber XIX combat contest. (L to R, 1st to 4th) Rich Lopez, Mark Rudner, Phil Granderson, Sam Bridger.
(Frank Boden photo)


## The Flying Flea Market

## Classified advertisements - FREE for FL subscribers

FOR SALE: NEW REWORKED VA . 049 MOTORS. ALL MACHINED SURFACES HAND LAPPED, FIT, BLUEPRINTED AND MY NEW BULLET PROOF (BP) CONNECTNNG ROD INSTALLED IN EACH MOTOR. NO BREAK IN REQUIRED, READY TO RUN. $30,000+$ RPM OUT OF BOX. \$75. REWORK YOUR OLD VA $\$ 25$ LABOR PLUS PARTS. $7075 T 6$ ALUMINUM BP CON ROD \$10. JEFFREY REIN, 14326102 ND AVE NE, BOTHELL, WA 98011, PHONE (206) 823-6053

FLYING LINES SUBSCRIBERS: THIS SPACE IS FOR YOU!!!!!!!! SEND IN YOUR AD FOR SELL / SWAP / OR FOR NEEDS. YOUR AD WILL RUN FOR TWO ISSUES, UNLESS YOU REQUEST OTHERWISE. CHANGE AD AT ANYTIME.

FOR SALE: MANY BACK ISSUES O F FLYING LINES ARE AVAILABLE. AN ORDER SHEET IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST, LISTING ISSUE NUMBERS AND DATES AVAILABLE FROM THE FL ARCHIVES.

FOR SALE: SEVERAL GLOW ENGINES THAT HAVE BEEN CONVERTED TO IGNITION: FOX .15, MCCOY REDHEAD .19, K\&B GREENHEAD, ETC. ALL IN GOOD SHAPE, COMPLETE WITH TIMERS, BUT NO PLUGS. CONTACT: HOMER SMITH, 1417 NW 191ST STREET, SEATTLE, WASH. 98177.

WANTED: COLLECTABLE QUALITY SPEED PLANE KITS. MIKE HAZEL (503) 364-8593


## RECORD REVIEW

Ron Salo set the Northwest 'B' Speed record during the 1995 Nationals held in Richland, Washington. This record stands at 158.53 mph .

Ron's original design follows nice looking traditional curves, with elliptical surfaces. Here are the details: a Harter's 'B' pan was used, and the rest of the construction is primarily basswood and balsa. Glass cloth is used overall, and a white K\&B epoxy finish. The wing spans 27 inches, with 75 square inches of area. The tail is 13 inches, with 43 inches of area. Fuselage length is 20 inches. This is not a small plane, but it tips the scales at a modest 24 ounces.

Monoline controls were used, of course, with an H\&R style torque unit. The 27cc capacity fuel tank was homebuilt, and is of the suction uniflow variety.

In the motivation department is a Super Tigre X29. Ron reworked the engine hisself, and it features an O.S. drum valve assembly intake. The exhaust timing is set at 189 degrees duration, with the boost and fuel transfer ports left stock. The tuned exhaust pipe is an OPS unit, 11 inches long.

Fuel used is, of course, the standard $10 \%$ nitro blend required. A McCoy glow plug was used, as was a $7 \times 6$ prop.

Ron does his own piloting, as these piped suction set-ups are pretty much pre-set before starting.

Class ' $B$ ' appears to be a challenging event, but Ron appears to have fun doing it. His goal now is to crack the 160 mph mark.

I-BEAMS<br>by Orin Humphries<br>Structures Part 3

What do we know so
far? A structure is strong only so long as it holds its shape. A structural member that will see compressive loads must have three times the cross sectional area it would need if it saw the same
 load as a tension or it will buckle. This time we deal with my favorite structural subject, I-beams. There are so many nuggets here to be exploited by the thinking modeler.

The first thing we need to deal with is the simple concept of the tension-compression pair, $t-c$ pair for short. An I-beam has three parts: an upper cap, a shear web, and a lower cap. The caps are referred to by several other names elsewhere, but cap will do for us, here. Lets think about one that is running horizontally and sitting upright like an "I". It is easy to see from common experience that the longer an I-beam gets, holding the other dimensions constant, the more like a hose it becomes. That is, it bends more easily. We also know that the taller it gets (the farther apart the two caps are), the stiffer it will be for the same length. Finally, if the length and height stay the same, but we make the caps and web thicker, the stiffer it will get. The question is, what is the most efficient change we can make in order to improve our small aircraft? But I get ahead of myself.

The loads an $I$-beam can resist come in many varieties. Ibeams are excellent for bending loads if they aren't too long. They are better yet for tension loads and quite good at compression loads. Shear loads (like a paper cutter) can be handled if you give the beam enough web thickness. The torsional loads, though, are perhaps not their strong suit.

We deal, now, with bending loads as this is the case when we use them for wing spars. If you hold one end of the beam and put a bending load on the other the beam will assume a bit of a curved shape, it will deflect, or "strain", as we say in engineering. "Strain" means something else in common usage, but for technical things it is how far something moves in response to a load. The caps in a loaded, strained beam experience opposite kinds of loads. The cap on the outside of the curve is getting stretched, and the one on the inside of the curve is getting squeezed. The outer one, then, is under tension, and the inner one is in compression. Hence, they form a $t-c$ pair. Apply the load in the opposite direction and the curvature reverses itself. So do the loads in the caps.

I recall reading the words of a B-24 pilot in WW II commenting about the wingtips "actually bending up a full foot while climbing out with a full load of gas and bombs." Boy, were those the days before aeroelasticity in jetiiner wings or what?

The nugget for $I$-beams lies in the fact that the stiffness depends wildly upon the distance between the upper and lower caps. I mean, if you keep the caps the same dimensions (and the web the same thickness) but increase their separation with a taller web, the stiffness goes to the Moon! This is the greatest and most important thing I will say in this series.

I do not chose the word, "wildly", for naught. Just watch this. If you increase the radius of a circle, how much will its circumference increase? Say we double the radius. The circumference will double, since it depends upon the "first power of the radius". That is, it's not the radius cubed, or some such. How much will the area of a circle increase if we increase the radius? If we double the radius, the area will be four times as much. The area depends upon the square of the radius. Pi-rsquared, remember? Radius gets doubled, then square that, and you have four times the area. That's quite a bit. You aint seen nothin'. How much will the stiffness of an I-beam increase if we increase the separation of the caps? Hold onto your hats, pilots! The stiffness of and I-beam increases with the fourth power of the separation!!!! That's the square of THE SQUARE! In plain talk, double the separation. Square that. Now, square what you just got! To illustrate, if we double the separation, well, that's a factor of two squared, or, two times two, which is four. But, you have to square this, now. Four squared is sixteen. This is no malarkey: double the separation of the caps on an I-beam and it will be sixteen times stiffer for a minor increase in weight. If that isn't efficient, I'm Sister Theresa.


Fig. A


Fig. 8
The two I-beams above are intended to be drawn with all things equal except for double the cap separation. The beam in fig. B is 16 times as stiff as Fig. A.

And we'll have even more fun lightening up our structures next time.

## CONTEST RESULTS

RICHMOND,B.C., JUNE 15, 1996

FLYING CLOWN-JR (3 ENTRIES) FLYING CLOWN-OPEN (3 ENTRIES) 15 SPOR 3 RACE (4 ENTRIES)

| 1) STEPHEN COX | 227 | 1) RICH MEADOWS | 266 | 1) RICH MEADOWS | $7: 29$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2) DERRICK MEADOWS | 227 | 2) MIKE CONNER | 239 | 2) HENRY HAJDIK | $8: 65$ |  |
| 3) JAMES COX | 189 | 3) FRANK BODEN | 188 | 3) MIKE CONNER | $9: 18$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4) FRANK BODEN | $14: 49$ |

KENT, WASHINGTON, JUNE $22 \& 23,1996$
(NOTE: INCOMPLETE RESULTS PROVIDED)

CLASSIC STUNT (6 ENTRIES)

| 1) | DON MCCLAVE | 490.5 | 1) | CHRIS COX | 298 |  | CHUC | SCHU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2) | DAN RUTHERFORD | 425.5 | $2)$ | EMIL KOVAC | 275.5 | $2)$ | DICR | SALTE |
| 3) | RICH MCCONNELL | $?$ | $3)$ | ROY DeCAmara | 213 | 3) | BOB | EINHAU |
| 4) | ? |  | $4)$ | ? |  |  | MIKE | HAZES |
| NW R/R SPEED (4 ENTRIES) |  |  | PREC.AERO-BEG (3 ENTRIES) |  |  | PREC AERO-INT |  |  |
| 1) | CHUCK SCHUETTE | 92.5 \% | 1) THORIN BROWN |  |  | 1) DAVE FINNIE |  |  |
| 2) BOB SPARR |  | 92.4 | 2) CORINNE BRAMA |  |  | 23 | JOHN | BRAMA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3) EMIL KOVAC |

PREC AERO-ADV (4 ENTRIES)

| 1) KARL BROWN | 439 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2) DAVE ROYER | 417.5 |
| 3) MIKE CONNER | $?$ |
| 4) RICH MCCONNELL | $?$ |

PREC AERO-EXP (8 ENTRIES)

1) PAUL WALKER 555
2) DAVID FITZGERALD 545
3) CHRIS COX 497.5
4) JACK PITCHER 488.5


| 1) RON SALO (B) | 99.3 | 1) | STEPHEN COX | 61.83 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2) JERRY THOMAS (JET) | 93.3 | $2)$ | JAMES COX | 60.38 |
| 3) DICK SALTER (JET) | 86.5 | $3)$ | DERRICR MEADOWS | 55.30 |
| 4) MIKE HAZEL (1/2 A PRO) | 84.1 | $4)$ | CHRIS HAZEL | 54.93 |

## SUBSCRIPTION EXPIRATION DEPARTMENT

THIS IS THE LAST ISSUE FOR THE FOLLOWING SUBSCRIBERS: JEFF CLEAVER, LARRY HYDER, KELLY ODOM, BARRY THOMSON.

PLEASE RENEW PROMPTLY TO ENSURE CONTINUOUS SERVICE.

|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \substack{3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \mid \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ - \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} N \\ \alpha_{0}^{0} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \substack{0 \\ \alpha_{N} \\ \mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \\ \stackrel{\sim}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ n \\ n \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{n}{2} \\ \sim_{0}^{2} \\ \stackrel{N}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{M}{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 3 \\ \hat{y} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \substack{-\stackrel{-}{2} \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{-} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{8} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{\alpha \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \sim \\ \hline} \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \overrightarrow{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \infty \\ \underset{N}{\infty} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & {\underset{N}{n}}^{( } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \infty \\ \sim \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \dot{\infty} \\ \infty \\ \sim \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \underset{N}{0} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} m \\ n_{n}^{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ o \\ \underset{N}{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & N \\ & N \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \hat{N} \\ \mathrm{~N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\hat{N}$ | $\stackrel{\nabla}{8}$ |  | N |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $0$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \\ 0 \\ 8 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ n^{2} \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \underset{y}{2} \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \infty \\ \hat{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ N \\ N \\ N \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ c_{j} \\ \infty \\ { }_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ \stackrel{1}{n} \\ \sim \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \sim \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{y}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \\ \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{array}$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{o} \\ & \stackrel{\alpha}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline- \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \sim \end{array}$ | $0$ | $0$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \nabla_{0} \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline- \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0 \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ N \\ N \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{n \\ \\ \infty \\ \hline} \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{-}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\theta}{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | N
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Team Racing Models
Final Results
12 atc
1906.07 .27

| pos | No | Name | Nat | Heph 1 | Fiugh 2 | Fighe 3 | Some 1 | ¢оп 2 | Finai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 218 | Pennisi Roberto/Rossi Andrea | ITA | 3148 | 70Laps | Disq | 3.21 .6 | 3.25 .7 | 7.18.1 |
| 2 | 233 | Nazin Yuri/Vuroblev Olea | RUS | 3.232 | 3.230 | 3.20 .8 | 3.21 .5 | 3.39 .8 | Disq |
| 2 | 220 | Maqli Marcello/Pirazzini Elvis | ITA | Disa | 3.21 .8 | Disq | 3.22 .7 | Disq | Disq |
| 4 | 241 | Lanaworth Bernard/Campbell David | GBR | 330.9 | 4.12.9 | 3.25 .4 | 00 Laps | 0000.00 |  |
| 4 | 242 | Fitzoerald Michael/Thomason Mark | GBR | 325.3 | 344.7 | 503.9 | 00 Laps | 000000 | - |
| 6 | 232 | Titou Vladimir/Yuaou Vicior | RUS | Disq | 3.17 .9 | 32.33 | 3.232 | 3240 |  |
| 7 | 231 | Shabashov Yuri/lvanov Vladimir | RUS | Disq | 321.9 | 323.7 | 3.23 .6 | 83 Laps | - |
| 8 | 243 | Ross Malcolm/Turner Brian | GBR | 3.442 | -3.23.4 | 3.30 .8 | 34 Laps | 3.27 .0 | . |
| 9 | 202 | Fitzoerald Rou/Prior Dennis | AUS | 73 Laps | 337.0 | 3.19 .3 | 3.28 .4 | 3298 |  |
| 10 | 211 | Gilluert Christoohe/Gilber Reais | FRA | 3.31 .5 | 98 Laps | 3.24 .2 | 00 Laps | 3.304 | . |
| 11 | 203 | Fischer Josel/Straniak Hans | Al:T | 3.208 | 3.19 .4 | 86 Laps | 3.482 | 3.33 .0 |  |
| 11 | 235 | Sarnuelsson Benat-Olol/Axtilius Kiell | SWE | 318.8 | 3.49 .2 | 332.6 | 3.33 .0 | 32 laps |  |
| 13 | 244/M | McCollum John/2e Bil: (i) | USA | 3.23 .3 | 82Laps | 321.4 | 334.0 | 3337 | $\cdot$ |
| 14 | 204 | Nische Heinz/Nische tlemuzur | AUT | 29 Lops | 3216 | 91 Laps | 34 Laps | 3341 |  |
| 15 | 246 | Ascher Aarun/Ascher Lemond | USA | 3252 | 3.57 .1 | 67 Laps | 00 Laps | 3369 | . |
| 16 | 245 | Ballard John/Lambern Dick _ O | USA | 3.18 .0 | Disq | Disq | Disq | 3392 |  |
| 17 | 205 | Dessaucu Luc/Dessaucu Jean | BEL | Disq | 3.26 .4 | 4.03 .5 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 206 M | Marv Wellinaton/Mar: Nelson | BIIA | 3.36 .4 | 326.7 | 3.297 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 221 | Metkemeiies Bert/Mctkemelier Rob | NED | 3.26 .7 | 87 Laps | 3.37 .5 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 238 | Borer Heiner/Saccavino Cesare | SUI | 3.28 .0 | 35 Laps | Disq | . |  |  |
| 21 | 230 | Mortinho António/Colico Jose | POR | 342.0 | 3.313 | 3.28 .6 | . |  | - |
| 22 | 214 | Suruque Pascal/Sunque Gecraes Jur | FRA | 3.42 .3 | 3.29 .6 | 33 Laps |  |  |  |
| 2.3 | 236 | Gustalsson Jan/Olison Goran | SWE | 3.50 .9 | 35 Laps | 3.29 .8 |  | - |  |
| 24 | 223 | Wakkerman Loet/van de Weerd Jan | NED | 4.058 | 3.50 .5 | 3.30 .1 | - |  |  |
| 25 | 237 | Stiärnesund Per/Andersson Hans | SWE | 3.37 .9 | 4.340 | 3.33 .2 |  |  |  |
| 26 | 208 | Brielzke Ricardo/ Wieck R oqerio | BRA | 4.11 .4 | 3.43 .0 | 3.336 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 219 | Martini Giancarlo/Los: Roberto | ITA | Disq | Disq | 3.34 .7 | - |  |  |
| 28 | 215 | Leudold Erik/Enaler Klaus | GER | 3.38 .8 | 3.40 .2 | 50 Laps | - |  |  |
| 29 | 2511 | lvanko Alexandre/Trmkal Alexandre | UKR | 3.44 .5 | 4.03 .3 | 3.39 .2 | - |  |  |
| 30 | 201 | Hooqenkamp Ron/Bertina Hans | AUS | 4.01 .9 | 3.40 .4 | 4.28 .9 | - |  |  |
| 30 | 247 , | Rajlis Martin/Fusek Peir | CZ.E | 3.50 .5 | 4.14 .4 | 3.404 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 240 | Giaer Pascal/Suder Hener | SUl | 1 Laps | 3.56 .9 | 3407 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 209 | Jerabek John/Parent Ken | CAN | Disq | 4.01 .2 | 3.42 .1 |  |  | - |
| 34 | 210 | Fairev Richard/Maclean Lloud | CAN | 3.51 .0 | 3.42 .3 | 3.42 .3 |  | - | . |
| 35 | 228 | Sieida Lukasz/Puka Reniqiu:sz Jun | POL | 0.00 .0 | 3.42 .9 | 4.35 .3 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 224 | Bovs Alistair/Bovs Robin | NZL | 3.436 | 4.21 .4 | 3.58.9 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 250 | Karninski Vladimir/Moskalets Anatoli | UKR | 3.57 .3 | 0.00 .0 | 3.43 .7 | - |  | - |
| 38 | 216 | Fauk Gerhard/Krause Bernhard | GER | 50 Laps | 3.44 .6 | 35 Laps | . |  | - |
| 39 | 249 | Oterino Palmero J. Luis/Rodriquez Serr | ESP | 4.37 .3 | 3.46 .7 | 4.11 .0 | . | . |  |
| 40 | 207 | Marques Fernando/Rodriques Walmir 8 | BRA | 20 Laps | 3.47 .2 | 4.30 .5 | - |  | - |
| 41 | 217 | Lindemann Reinhard/Schönherr Frank | GER | 43 Laps | 3.54 .4 | 3.47 .5 |  |  | - |
| 42 | 248 | Martinez Victoria Anael/Crespi Sequi P | ESP | Disq | 3.49 .2 | Disq | . |  | - |
| 43 | 334 | Ustinov Denis/Oreshkin Anton Jun | RUS | 3.52 .2 | 4.319 | Disq | - |  |  |
| 44 | 222 | Vendel Micha/van Gemert Peter | NED | 355.8 | 4.05 .3 | 4.225 | . | . | . |
| 45 | 212 | Maret Jean/Picard Fabrice | FRA | 4.06 .7 | Disq | Disq | - | - | . |
| 46 | 6229 | Isidro Julio/Loureiro João | POR | 5.46 .2 | 4.53 .3 | 4.212 | - | . | . |
| 47 | 225 | Praus Pawel/Manowski Lukasz | POL | 0.000 | 4.23 .6 | 53 Laps | - | - |  |
| 48 | 227 | Kobierecki Robert/Raczunski Bartlomiei | POL | 22 Laps | 86 Laps | 17 Laps | - | - | - |
| 50 | 213 | Suruque Roland/Perret Jean-Paul | FRA | 77 Laps | Disq | 34 Laps | - |  | . |
| 50 | 226 | Gumulinski Stanislaw/Braciak Marek | POL | Disq | Disq | Disq | . | . | . |

F2D
Combat
Final Results
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Ah, fall. The cool breezes. The turning of the leaves into a myriad of bright hues, the sweet aroma of nitromethane in the air... Nitromethane?

Yes, it's time for the seventh annual...

## REALLY RACING!

In a new location!

A complete day of control-line
model aviation racing, featuring:
Mouse Race Class I
(including a jumior class race)
Mouse Race Class II
AMA Goodyear
Slow Rat Race
Rat Race
Northwest Sport Race Northwest Super Sport Race

Flying Clown Race

## The details...

Date: Saturday, Oct. 12, 1996 Place: Delta Park, Portland, Ore. Prizes: Trophies through third place

Entry fees: $\$ 5$ per event, $\$ 25$ maximum
First race at 9 a.m.; events run in order listed above.
Contest Director:
John Thompson
2456 Quince St., Eugene, OR 97404
Contest sponsored by the Eugene Prop Spinners
and the Northwest Fireballs
Academy of Model Aeronautics membership required

The Eugene Prop Spinners invite you to the 10th annual Prop Spinners ...

A pleasant autumn afternoon of control-line competition flying - in a new location!



# The events... <br> starting at 9 a.m.: <br> Precision aerobatics 

In four skill classes: BEGINNER - Using AMA beginner pattern INTERMEDIATE ADVANCED EXPERT

## The details...

Date: Sunday, Oct. 13, 1996 Place: Delta Park, Portland, Ore. Prizes: Trophies through third place Entry fees: $\$ 5$ per event

Contest Director:
John Thompson
2456 Quince St., Eugene, OR 97404
Contest sponsored by the Eugene Prop Spinners and the Northwest Fireballs
Academy of Model Aeronautics membership required

## NW REGIONALS COMPETITION RECORDS

 best recorded performances at the eugene and roseburg, OREGON NORTHWEST REGIONAL CL CHAMPS BY ALL ENTRANTS.

FLYING LINES is produced by a staff of volunteers interested in keeping lines of communication open between Northwest region control line modelers. FLYING LINES is independent of any organization, and is made possible by the financial support of its base of subscribers.

The FLYING LINES staff: John Thompson, Fred Cronenwett, Orin Humphries, Jim Cameron, Paul Gibeault, Gerald Schamp; Mike Hazel, editor. Contributions for publication are welcomed. Any material submitted to the editor which is not for publication, should be indicated as such. Duplication of contents is permissible, provided source is acknowledged.

FLYING LINES is published nine times per year. Subscription rate is $\$ 13.00$ for USA, and $\$ 15.00$ for Canada (U.S. funds) Subscription expiration is noted on the mailing label-issue number listed after name.
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    Pos $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ Name

    | 1 | 117 | Han Xindina |
    | ---: | ---: | ---: |
    | 2 | 174 | Walker Paul |

    

